

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

Pinnacle Seminar

Chat Discussion

10:02:42 From Yvette Seger, FASEB (she/her) to Everyone:

My now famous pony (Twix) makes appearances on my twitter, in case you're interested @YvetteSeger :)

10:02:52 From Yvette Seger, FASEB (she/her) to Everyone:

He also loves data and data sharing!

10:03:13 From Frank Krause to Everyone:

Twix is otherwise known as #scipolpony - very famous

10:04:00 From Denise Hills (she/her) to Everyone:

Excellent! My kiddos ride and work at a therapeutic riding farm and each have their own money-pit that they lease. They also appreciate data and data sharing (also, will be following the #SciPolPony !)

10:08:39 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.1515/ci-2021-0304/html>

10:08:54 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

FAIR and Open Data in Science: The Opportunity for IUPAC

10:09:41 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://iupac.org/what-we-do/digital-standards/>

10:09:48 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

IUPAC Digital Standards

10:11:13 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://journals.aas.org/news/policy-statement-on-software/>

10:11:20 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

AAS Policy Statement on Software

10:12:39 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

👉 thank you for reviewing the data and software sections and submissions

10:15:30 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/hub/data_archiving_policy

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

10:15:36 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

British Ecological Society data archiving policy

10:19:33 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://www.ametsoc.org/stac/index.cfm/boards/board-on-data-stewardship/>

10:19:39 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

Board on Data Stewardship

10:19:42 From Emilie Aimé to Everyone:

BES guides <https://www.britishecologicalsociety.org/publications/guides-to/>

10:20:23 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://www.ametsoc.org/index.cfm/ams/about-ams/ams-statements/statements-of-the-ams-in-force/software-preservation-stewardship-and-reuse/>

10:20:30 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

Software Preservation, Stewardship, and Reuse

10:20:53 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://www.ametsoc.org/index.cfm/ams/about-ams/ams-statements/statements-of-the-ams-in-force/full-open-and-timely-access-to-data/>

10:21:01 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

Full, Open, and Timely Access to Data

10:21:58 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://www.esipfed.org/data-help-desk-at-ams-2022>

10:22:06 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

Data Help Desk at AMS 2022

10:24:54 From Frank Krause to Everyone:

? for all / Ian - are there one or two points you can share in regards to what you learned when creating data / metadata standards to make data more interoperable / reusable?

10:25:24 From Rasmus Kvaal Wardemann to Everyone:

To Emily: You mentioned that you couldn't check the FAIRness of data. What were the barriers to this? Lack of resources, knowledge or something else?

10:26:16 From Bob Samors to Everyone:

To what extent are these efforts carried over to research /academic institutions by members? To what extent do the organizations try to bring these measures to those institutions?

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

10:26:23 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

+1 Emilie ❤️ Sharing Data vs 😞 (grumpy)

10:26:58 From Sylvia Spengler to Everyone:

NSF has had data creation as a citation in the biosketch for several years. How would you suggest enhancing its existence and value?

10:27:13 From Biru Zhou, Dr. | McGill University to Everyone:

To Emille's point, do you think societies or journal editors would welcome data professionals to publish commentaries on disciplinary RDM good (enough) practices to facilitate awareness?

10:30:26 From Randy Fiser-AGU-(He/Him) to Everyone:

How is or is the shifting geopolitical landscape effecting progress? If so, how?

10:33:32 From Biru Zhou, Dr. | McGill University to Everyone:

+1 @Bob

10:33:32 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

As a repository manager for a university, it would help to have clear guidance on the minimal information required (metadata and otherwise) to bring back to authors who may resist/not see the value.

10:35:05 From Carly Huitema to Everyone:

We just had this discussion at our University - one thing is P&T was looking for rubric type text - recommendations on how to evaluate better FAIR data practices. Does anyone have anything like this?

10:35:19 From George Woodall (US EPA) to Everyone:

Could involvement and training of Editorial Boards (Associate Editors, Reviewers) of scientific Journals help to establish standards and encourage FAIR via the review process?

10:35:33 From Joel Gershenfeld to Everyone:

When I was a dean at the University of Illinois we changed the promotion and tenure policies to include "interdisciplinary" and "translational," both of which are connected to data sharing and reuse. Having said that, a recent NSF stakeholder survey on data sharing and reuse only had 10% saying they had supporting tenure and promotion policies.

10:36:25 From Biru Zhou, Dr. | McGill University to Everyone:

I think institutions are actually interested in collaboration with societies. However, there is no clear pathway as how to reach out and to which one first?

10:36:28 From NSF Sylvia Spengler to Everyone:

Thanks, Joel. I thought that might be the key but how to ID champions for the idea

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

10:37:20 From Bob Samors to Everyone:

@Carly - the higher ed associations put together a guide on how to address these issues. The final report/guide does not have exactly what you are looking for, but may help move the conversation along. <https://www.aau.edu/accelerating-public-access-research-data#:~:text=The%20Association%20of%20American%20Universities,resulting%20from%20federally%20funded%20research>.

10:38:11 From Joel Gershenfeld to Everyone:

YES Silvia — Societies can play a key role with suggested policy language and exemplars lifted up for the communities to see.

10:38:46 From NSF Sylvia Spengler to Everyone:

Do you think they might be willing, Joel?

10:39:37 From Biru Zhou, Dr. | McGill University to Everyone:

FairsFair.eu has a automated FAIR data assessment tool from data curation perspective: F-UJI: <https://fairsfair.eu/f-uji-automated-fair-data-assessment-tool>

10:39:58 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

@Sylvia - yes. The societies participating today are very interested.

10:40:31 From Joel Gershenfeld to Everyone:

A leading theory of change from Kurt Lewin in the 1940s indicated that you get more progress by lowering barriers than by pushing harder on the drivers.

10:40:31 From John Helliwell IUCr to Everyone:

Data science skills for referees example
<https://forums.iucr.org/viewtopic.php?f=39&t=427&sid=bc24944f1bf2b7f04110d277389a2ed0>

10:42:02 From NSF Sylvia Spengler to Everyone:

@Shelly, let us talk

10:42:11 From Bob Samors to Everyone:

In addition, the Belmont Forum (intergovernmental science funders organization) and the RDA Publishing Policy interest group put together a common Data Accessibility Statement that would provide common guidance to be used by both funders and publishers to create some end-to-end common, consistent guidance on expectations/requirements re: data accessibility.

10:43:20 From Bob Samors to Everyone:

<https://zenodo.org/record/1476871#.YmAb9dopDiA>

10:43:22 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://www.faseb.org/resources/data-science-and-informatics/dataworks>

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

10:43:26 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

DataWorks!

10:43:45 From Bob Samors to Everyone:

Belmont Forum Data Accessibility Statement Policy and Template - Endorsed 18 October 2018 <https://zenodo.org/record/1476871#.YmAb9dopDiA>

10:47:43 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

FASEB Twitter <https://twitter.com/FASEBorg>

10:53:02 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

CSSP Website: <https://www.sciencepresidents.org>

10:56:10 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://www.nationalacademies.org/our-work/chemical-engineering-challenges-and-opportunities-in-the-21st-century>

10:56:18 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

Chemical Engineering: Challenges and Opportunities in the 21st Century

10:58:05 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0143460>

10:58:13 From John Helliwell IUCr to Everyone:

Data sharing for published research is clear cut worthwhile, but for unpublished research the sharing of data ideas vary around the World and throws up interesting questions:-

<https://forums.iucr.org/viewtopic.php?f=39&t=445&sid=bc24944f1bf2b7f04110d277389a2ed0>

10:58:14 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

Research Data in Core Journals in Biology, Chemistry, Mathematics, and Physics

11:05:46 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://wesharedata.org/>

11:08:10 From Rasmus Kvaal Wardemann to Everyone:

Do researchers have good reason to trust the data though? Might they be right?

11:09:00 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

@Rasmus - very good point. We don't have good peer review for datasets.

11:10:24 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

There are folks working on different approaches for what "trusting data" means. These next months/years will help evolve that idea. But. It is truly at the discipline level. Not something that can be general and effective.

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

11:10:35 From Susan Borda to Everyone:

There is also a documentation challenge with data

11:10:52 From Amy Nurnberger (she/her) [MIT] to Everyone:

+1 Leah!!

11:10:53 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

+100 Need to fund data curation!!!!

11:11:00 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

Is data citation an indication that the data is "good"?

11:11:19 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

I'd really like to see all of us doing this

https://twitter.com/iainh_z/status/1509091657131638792 Researchers come to me often about this feature, being able to filter by papers that have data, software... so for researchers that don't do this, they will start sticking out...

11:11:28 From Carly Huitema to Everyone:

Is there a standard, machine-actionable format for glossaries?

11:12:33 From Katherine McNeill to Everyone:

R.e., trustworthy & quality data, as Shelley said there have been some efforts to try to create standards for that (e.g., <https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/assessment-data-fitness-use>), although many deliberately don't "approve" the data, but rather provide the users w/information to do so themselves.

11:13:06 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

Distribution of the data availability statements (2019 to 2020) of preprints in medRxiv (Panel A) and published (Panel B) records by category

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0250887

11:13:07 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

Yeah, there's a lot of politics around "data rating" - different uses and disciplines value different things.

11:13:39 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0250887>

11:14:27 From John Helliwell IUCr to Everyone:

@Megan Data citation is certainly a good indicator but also 'simple' data access is good. Indeed UK's EPSRC data policy states that if data are not accessed for ten years then those can be considered for deletion eg to reduce climate change footprint of data archiving.

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

11:14:44 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

+1 Megan The value of data needs to be disconnected from citations and realigned to understandable and reusable.

11:15:47 From Lars Vilhuber (American Economic Association) to Everyone:

The simple "closed" really needs to be disaggregated to "arbitrarily closed" (ask the PI) and "legitimately closed" (privacy concerns, but accessible by anybody who's legit)

11:16:29 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

+1 Lars - I really like

11:16:37 From Jake Yeston to Everyone:

<https://www.science.org/content/blog-post/progress-data-and-code-deposition>

11:17:01 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

disclaimer on prior comments: As a librarian, I worry about what horrible new metric(s) will be applied to data sets -- looking at JIF and journal articles as an example.

11:18:43 From Plato Smith to Everyone:

Progress in data and code deposition. <https://www.science.org/content/blog-post/progress-data-and-code-deposition>

11:18:55 From Elisha Wood-Charlson to Everyone:

@Megan - Make Data Count is trying to prevent/avoid that if possible.

 <https://makedatacount.org/>

11:19:41 From Leah McEwen to Everyone:

Re: data trust, in chemistry, there is long-standing practice to critically evaluate data reported in the research literature (Ian mentioned some activities in IUPAC, others in NIST). The assessment methods do depend on the original primary data being well documented and accessible. If data generators received citation credit for the use of their data in the critically evaluated datasets, this would be good feedback on data re-use already happening.

11:20:25 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

+1 on "What data needs to be archived, for how long?", and "use field specific or general repositories"

11:20:58 From Cindy Paska (CSSP) to Everyone:

@Leah - and it would incentivize availability of data to be re-used

11:21:40 From Lars Vilhuber (American Economic Association) to Everyone:

Does "Data sharing" apply to the summary data, or the multi-sourced raw data?

11:21:56 From August (Gus) Muench [he/his/him] to Everyone:

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

We “tag” and “badge” data links on our article pages, but that’s not where authors would find those tags and badges. Getting those tags off the pub’s platform and into the indexer/syndicator platforms seems like an important next step.

11:22:50 From Katherine McNeill to Everyone:

R.e., Lars earlier comment about openness, the concept of "as open as possible, as closed as necessary" is used in fields and archives with privacy considerations. And mentioned in H2020 guidelines:

https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants_manual/hi/oa_pilot/h2020-hi-oa-data-mgt_en.pdf.

11:24:31 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

RDA Data Policy Framework for Publishers: Hrynaszkiewicz, I., Simons, N., Hussain, A., Grant, R., & Goudie, S. (2020). Developing a Research Data Policy Framework for All Journals and Publishers. Data Science Journal, 19(1), 5. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.5334/dsj-2020-005>

11:24:53 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

See WeShareData.org for a session on this framework.

11:25:12 From Leah McEwen to Everyone:

+1 Gus, excellent point for indexing tools to pick up data links

11:26:05 From Emilie Aimé to Everyone:

I think crossref are trying to make separating out data citations easier

11:26:36 From Emilie Aimé to Everyone:

Although not of the ins and outs of this -- others in the group might be better placed to comment

11:27:19 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

+1 Emilie, Crossref is definitely doing better. Publishers need to make sure the data and software citations are prepped correctly.

11:27:44 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

We have a paper coming out in F1000 in the next month that will have details. We can share with everyone participating today.

11:27:55 From Lars Vilhuber (American Economic Association) to Everyone:

Data citations when authors have 20+ data sources still remains a (common/frequent) challenge, at least in Econ...

11:28:12 From Elisha Wood-Charlson to Everyone:

Great @Shelley! I would love to see what has been updated

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

11:28:22 From Emilie Aimé to Everyone:

That'd be great @Shelley

11:28:37 From Zsolt Silberer (He/Him) to Everyone:

@Shelly great to hear

11:29:09 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://modeldatarcn.github.io/>

11:29:11 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

Model Data Rubric Project: <https://modeldatarcn.github.io/>. Upcoming workshop July 25-27, details found on the project web page.

11:29:35 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://data.agu.org/2021/10/01/challenges-preserving-very-large-data.html> Preserving very large data is a challenge. Spoilers, there are no easy answers!

11:29:58 From NSF Sylvia Spengler to Everyone:

Site for model data RCN?

11:30:14 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://modeldatarcn.github.io/>

11:30:16 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

<https://modeldatarcn.github.io/>

11:30:18 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

+1 Chris. We have researchers coming to our institutional repository with 2 TB data because no one else will take it...

11:30:46 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

Susan Borda and I've been talking about this too @Megan

11:31:15 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

It's a mess and unsustainable -- the short story

11:31:33 From Emilie Aimé to Everyone:

+1 re big data -- getting more common too

11:31:43 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

Link to July Model Data RCN workshop invitation:
<https://modeldatarcn.github.io/workshop3/July2022-Workshop-Invite.pdf>

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

11:32:21 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

https://publish.acs.org/publish/data_policy

11:32:23 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

All are welcome to join RDA Organizational Assembly!!

11:32:27 From Susan Borda to Everyone:

Tiered storage, working with campus IT and Globus help with large datasets.

11:33:18 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

RDA Organizational Members: <https://www.rd-alliance.org/oa-members>

11:33:47 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

Asking researchers to pay for archival of large datasets also helps motivate them to cull down the size of those datasets and use compression.

11:34:42 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

Developing our shared guidance between all the journals took many conversations but this was our first iteration <https://data.agu.org/resources/agu-data-software-sharing-guidance> which we are updating now, streamlining based on even more conversations at AGU

11:35:06 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

And thanks to Peter Fox for the inspiration 😊

11:36:16 From John Helliwell IUCr to Everyone:

@Doug "Culling of data to reduce size" presumes ownership. The issue though is that deletion alters the record of science, including emphasising positive results versus null results. This is another issue needing discussion, eg led by Societies.

11:36:56 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

+John yes. This needs to be discussed

11:37:24 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

^ yes deciding when something is "done" is a giant "?" for repository managers too. FYI - if each society sets different policies things will be messy

11:37:45 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

<https://www.esa.org/publications/data-policy/>

11:38:15 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

Agree. We've mainly had to deal with large model outputs which tend to include many parameters that aren't directly used in the research, but included in files simply because they are generically produced by models. Do we need to preserve that voluminous information? Is it less costly to regenerate the data than archive the data?

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

11:38:47 From Heather Carlo (ESA; she/her) to Everyone:

<https://www.esa.org/publications/data-policy/>

11:39:46 From Shelley Stall to Everyone:

Heather is pointing out that the terms we use are varied across communities....primary, novel, raw, level 0, processed, aggregated, derived, cleaned.....yadda Need to reduce confusion.

11:40:19 From John Helliwell IUCr to Everyone:

@Doug The challenge for modelling, as experimental science, is exact reproducibility of workflows.

11:46:23 From George Woodall (US EPA) to Everyone:

Societies are best to implement FAIR data principles but how can members encourage and support these efforts within their own societies?

11:47:43 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

@Elisha and I just submitted this -> 10 Simple Rules for getting and giving credit for data to PLoS yesterday... very early draft 😊

11:48:23 From Laure Haak to Everyone:

What about FAIR wizards for repos that reduce barriers for researchers in creating and depositing FAIR data

11:49:14 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

@John Agree. This is one of the challenges that's being investigated in the model data RCN project. The current viewpoint coming out of that project is "for highly non-linear case studies, the goal is not exact reproducibility, but rather enough output to understand the environmental state that forced, and the impacts of, the features being investigated." Is it worth it to pay for storage of 10s to 100s of TB of data for long term storage? This assumes that the software used to support the simulation workflow is available. Find more details on these ideas in: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2021.763420>

11:49:55 From Laure Haak to Everyone:

Not seeing results

11:50:07 From Laure Haak to Everyone:

yes

11:50:23 From Yvette Seger, FASEB (she/her) to Everyone:

you might need to change the view

11:50:25 From David Butcher to Everyone:

Hard to pick the most important ones since these are all great ideas

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

11:50:34 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

I found it interesting that "grant award" was assumed to be the start of the data pipeline

11:50:35 From Jaclyn Sadiua to Everyone:

We can see the results :]

11:50:36 From Brooks Hanson (AGU) to Everyone:

so....yes

11:50:42 From Elisha Wood-Charlson to Everyone:

I wanted like all of them...

11:50:47 From Elisha Wood-Charlson to Everyone:

*them

11:51:01 From NSF Sylvia Spengler to Everyone:

How many voted?

11:51:38 From Bonnie Crotty Crotty Nelson to Everyone:

+Laura H. re: FAIR Wizards!

11:52:24 From Susan Borda to Everyone:

@Doug what I've heard from our climate science folks is that they share large datasets so others at smaller institutions who don't have access to the same resources can use the data. This is with the understanding that the data would only be available for 10 years.

11:52:41 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

is a FAIR Wizard a job title? ;-)

11:53:11 From Elisha Wood-Charlson to Everyone:

We're trying to focus on standards around physical samples, to get data management integrated at the stage of experimental design.

11:53:24 From Elisha Wood-Charlson to Everyone:

re: training ^

11:53:24 From Howard Ratner to Everyone:

+Laura H. re: FAIR Wizards!

11:53:26 From John Helliwell IUCr to Everyone:

@Doug I have a paper in press in Acta Cryst D which details variances in calculations for "equivalent" workflows. Email me john.helliwell@manchester.ac.uk and we can continue this discussion!

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

11:53:32 From Heather Carlo (ESA; she/her) to Everyone:

We'd like to see education regarding naming conventions for published datasets (for example: something different than the manuscript title).

11:54:01 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

Oh my goodness - please make it standard to NAME THE DATA

11:54:05 From John Helliwell IUCr to Everyone:

@Shelley Awaiting proofs at present.

11:54:14 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

+1 on name the dataset as well as the abstract!

11:54:15 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

I have authors push back against giving it a true title

11:54:22 From Elisha Wood-Charlson to Everyone:

+1 we get "Test data"

11:54:34 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

we require it to be "data for... [article title]" if they just MUST

11:54:38 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

@John, sounds good. Thanks for the discussion!

11:54:42 From Brooks Hanson (AGU) to Everyone:

@megan—so having an agreed upon recommendation would hlep.

11:54:46 From Katherine McNeill to Everyone:

Agree Elisha; I was looking on the poll for something like that, to have data management built into existing tools, to reduce the cost/effort for data management (beyond education/encouragement).

11:54:53 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

@Brooks - and the policy to point to!

11:54:58 From Lars Vilhuber (American Economic Association) to Everyone:

The naming must also be disseminated to repository administrators when actively curated. I've had to strongly encourage (euphemism) repo admins to make the dataset something else than title of the paper!

11:55:07 From George Woodall (US EPA) to Everyone:

Let me put in my vote to develop discipline appropriate vocabularies, taxonomies and ontologies as a priority first-step toward developing FAIR within then across disciplines.

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

11:55:35 From Emilie Aimé to Everyone:

<https://www.britishecologicalsociety.org/publications/guides-to/> another plug for BES guides to data management and reproducible code

11:55:39 From Plato Smith to Everyone:

There is an increase in ELN recommendations for labs (i.e. Molecular Genetics & Microbiology; new UF Scripps Biomedical Research). Project TIER 4.0 Protocol is good for last comment on reproduction documentation. <https://www.projecttier.org/tier-protocol/protocol-4-0/>

11:55:40 From Lars Vilhuber (American Economic Association) to Everyone:

Dataverse offers a one-button option to prepend "Data for:" when you enter the title for the paper

11:55:48 From Howard Ratner to Everyone:

Would love to see more mandatory metadata elements for data registered at DataCite (creator institution, funder, etc.)

11:56:28 From Nancy Ritchey to Everyone:

@Howard +1, @George +1

11:56:42 From Elisha Wood-Charlson to Everyone:

+1 Howard - we are adopting ESIP and definitely want to make sure the data DOI metadata has funder PIDs.

11:56:42 From Susan Borda to Everyone:

@howard "IsDocumentedBy" for readme files would be nice

11:56:49 From Leah McEwen to Everyone:

Greater use of identifiers (PIDs) would be good to encourage, including institutional, samples, organisms/cell lines, chemical substances...

11:56:50 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

Any chance of having a workshop or meeting for societies not yet on the data bandwagon?

11:58:15 From Emilie Aimé to Everyone:

Well up for that Shelley

11:58:47 From Leah McEwen to Everyone:

+1 on instruments and other common aspects of measurements (for measurement-based disciplines), units is another good common documentation need

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

11:59:24 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

How do societies/publishers support and accommodate the needs of researchers from institutions with limited or non-existent resources to support data and software management relative to those at larger institutions? This could lead to equitability issues on who can publish if the resources aren't present to fulfill data and software curation and sharing expectations.

11:59:29 From Plato Smith to Everyone:

Thank you. Great presentations by all!

12:00:00 From Lars Vilhuber (American Economic Association) to Everyone:

+1 @doug I hear that often..

12:00:16 From John Helliwell IUCr to Everyone:

Thankyou for an excellent event. Best wishes, John Helliwell, IUCr.

12:00:23 From Cindy Paska (CSSP) to Everyone:

thank you for a great event

12:01:03 From Yvette Seger, FASEB (she/her) to Everyone:

Many thanks to Shelley and Laura for corralling speakers and moderators throughout this series - bravo!

12:01:06 From Anna Jester to Everyone:

Thank you to all for this event and the series!!!

12:01:07 From Leah McEwen to Everyone:

Citizen science as part of Open Science for All?

12:01:08 From Susan Borda to Everyone:

@Doug I have worked at both well resourced and not well resourced.

12:01:09 From Megan O'Donnell | she/her/hers to Everyone:

these are the best meetings! Thank you so much for all your hard work

12:01:10 From Elisha Wood-Charlson to Everyone:

thank YOU!

12:01:12 From Brad Walker to Everyone:

Thank you so much!

12:01:14 From Heather Carlo (ESA; she/her) to Everyone:

Thank you all!!!

Data Sharing Seminar Series for Societies

12:01:16 From Denise Hills (she/her) to Everyone:

Thank you!!

12:01:17 From Laure Haak to Everyone:

thanks shelley!

12:01:17 From Lars Vilhuber (American Economic Association) to Everyone:

Thank you, Shelley!

12:01:18 From Michael Friedman to Everyone:

Well done everyone! Thanks!

12:01:19 From Nancy Ritchey to Everyone:

thanks

12:01:21 From August (Gus) Muench [he/his/him] to Everyone:

Thank you all!

12:01:21 From Doug Schuster (AMS) to Everyone:

Thanks Shelley!

12:01:21 From Krysha Dukacz (she/her) to Everyone:

Thank you!

12:01:22 From Emilie Aimé to Everyone:

Thanks so much everyone esp Shelley and Laura

12:01:22 From Matt Cannon to Everyone:

Well done AGU and Shelley, this has been brilliant

12:01:23 From Lars Vilhuber (American Economic Association) to Everyone:

Happy to participate in the new series!

12:01:24 From Howard Ratner to Everyone:

Thanks!

12:01:40 From laurentian to Everyone:

Wonderful presentations. Congratulations for all.

12:03:18 From Chris Erdmann (AGU) to Everyone:

We created a new position title, Data Wizard, during this session